DOI: 10.4274/jcrpe.galenos.2025.2024-10-17

Research Article

The Impact of the 2023 Turkey Earthquakes on Glycemic Control and Stress Levels in Children with Type 1 Diabetes: Single-center Experience

Trabzon G et al. 2023 Turkey Earthquakes: Impact on Glycemic Control in T1D Children

Gül Trabzon¹, Seda Aybüke Sarı², Servet Yüce³, Simge Bilaloğlu⁴, Şeyma Demiray Güllü⁴

¹Mustafa Kemal University Medical Faculty Department of Pediatric Endocrinology, Hatay, Turkey

²Mustafa Kemal University Medical Faculty Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, Hatay, Turkey

³Istanbul Faculty of Medicine, Istanbul University, Department of Public Health, Istanbul, Turkey

⁴Mustafa Kemal University Medical Faculty Department of Pediatrics, Hatay, Turkey

What is already known on this topic?

Major natural disasters, such as earthquakes, can significantly disrupt the management of chronic conditions like type 1 diabetes. In children with type 1 diabetes, stress and trauma from such events can impair glycemic control and lead to heightened psychological distress.

What this study adds?

This study is one of the first to evaluate the impact of the 2023 Turkey earthquake on glycemic control and psychological well-being in children with type 1 diabetes. It highlights the influence of parental stress, particularly maternal stress, on children's HbA1c levels and underscores the need for tailored interventions to support diabetes management during natural disasters.

Abstract

Objective: The 2023 earthquake in southeastern Turkey significantly impacted physical and emotional well-being in the region. This study evaluates the earthquake's effects on glycemic control, diabetes management, and stress levels in children with type 1 diabetes (T1D). **Materials and Methods:** Seventy-nine pediatric T1D patients were assessed before and after the earthquake. Key parameters included glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c), insulin dosage, and psychological assessments using the Problem Areas in Diabetes Scale-Teen version (PAID-T) and the Post-Traumatic Stress Reaction Scale (PTSRS). Mixed-effects models were used to compare data across time points. **Results:** Of the 79 participants, 45.6% were male, with a mean age of 143.5 ± 45.0 months. The earthquake disrupted insulin therapy in 36.7% of patients and caused glycemic control issues in 77.2%. HbA1c levels dropped from $9.7 \pm 2.7\%$ pre-earthquake to $8.8 \pm 2.2\%$ in the first 3 months, rose to $10.6 \pm 1.9\%$ in the following 3 months, and stabilized at $9.7 \pm 1.9\%$ by the fourth period. A positive correlation was observed between parental stress and children's HbA1c (r = 0.423, p = 0.031). Psychological effects were notable, with 43% reporting distress, and 63.3% experiencing loss of close family or friends. PAID-T scores were 42.0 ± 14.5 for children and 53.7 ± 12.8 for parents, with PTSRS scores of 35.1 ± 17.4 .

Conclusions: The earthquake significantly affected glycemic control and psychological well-being in children with T1D. Fluctuations in HbA1c levels and the link between parental stress and glycemic outcomes emphasize the need for tailored interventions during crises.

Keywords: Earthquake, type 1 diabetes, children, disaster

Gül Trabzon MD, Mustafa Kemal University Medical Faculty Department of Pediatric Endocrinology, Hatay, Turkey gldirekk@gmail.com
01.11.2024
07.01.2025

Epub: 24.01.2025

Cite this article as: Trabzon G, Sari SA, Yüce S, Bilaloğlu S, Demiray Güllü Ş. The impact of the 2023 Turkey earthquakes on glycemic control and stress levels in children with type 1 diabetes: single-center experience. J Clin Res Pediatr Endocrinol. [Epub Ahead of Print]

Conflict of interest: None declared

Introduction

On February 6, 2023, two devastating earthquakes, with magnitudes of 7.8 and 7.6, struck Kahramanmaraş, severely impacting southeastern Turkey. These earthquakes caused widespread destruction, displaced millions, and resulted in thousands of casualties (1-3). Following the initial quake, aftershocks continued to affect the region, with a significant earthquake of magnitude 6.4 occurring in Hatay on February 20, 2023 (4). Beyond the physical devastation, the emotional and psychological toll on affected populations was immense, particularly among vulnerable groups such as children with chronic diseases (5).

These aftershocks exacerbated the destruction, intensifying the physical and psychological impacts on affected communities. Large-scale

These aftershocks exacerbated the destruction, intensifying the physical and psychological impacts on affected communities. Large-scale disasters not only cause significant physical destruction but also impose immense stress on individuals' mental health. Such events severely disrupt community infrastructure and healthcare services, complicating chronic disease management.(6). In Antakya, Hatay, Mustafa Kemal University Hospital was the only facility providing medical care after the initial earthquake. However, it sustained damage during the February 20 earthquake, necessitating the relocation of patient care to a field hospital.

Type 1 diabetes is one of the most common chronic conditions among children and adolescents, requiring continuous monitoring and careful management. In individuals with Type 1 diabetes, stress and traumatic events can directly affect glycemic control (7). Major disasters like earthquakes can make managing glycemic levels more difficult, leading to both short-term and long-term health consequences. Disruptions in diabetes management can result in erratic blood glucose levels and severe health complications. Additionally, earthquakes can trigger psychological issues such as post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), anxiety, and depression, particularly in children and adolescents (8). Children and adolescents with Type 1 diabetes face a dual health burden: managing their chronic illness while coping with the psychological effects of the trauma they have experienced. This combination can significantly impair their quality of life. The uncertainty and loss caused by the earthquake can further deteriorate their emotional and psychological well-being (9).

In the present study, we examined the immediate and long-term effects of this catastrophic earthquake on the glycemic control and stress levels of children with Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus (T1DM). We also assessed the emotional stress levels of their parents, particularly mothers, who bear the primary responsibility for managing their children's diabetes in the aftermath of the disaster. Although previous studies have investigated the impact of natural disasters on glycemic control and psychological well-being in adults with Type 1 diabetes, to our

knowledge, no similar studies have been conducted in pediatric populations. This gap in the literature underscores the novelty and importance of our study, as children with Type 1 diabetes may face unique challenges in managing their condition during and after largescale disasters.

Material and Methods

Study Population

Participants were selected from a pool of pediatric patients, aged 11 to 18, diagnosed with T1D, along with their parents, who were receiving regular care at the Hatay Mustafa Kemal University Pediatric Endocrinology Department. Patients with additional comorbidities or those on medications affecting glucose metabolism were excluded. Further exclusion criteria encompassed pre-existing psychiatric disorders (such as depression, anxiety, or PTSD), developmental disorders, and conditions that could independently impact glycemic control (e.g., Cushing's syndrome or untreated thyroid disorders). Patients with a history of substance abuse or severe cognitive impairment that could hinder adherence to diabetes management protocols were also excluded. Additionally, participants with a diabetes duration of less than six months and those residing outside the affected region during the earthquake were not eligible for inclusion. From an initial recruitment of 100 patients, 21 were excluded based on these criteria, leaving a final cohort of 79 patients for analysis.

The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Hatay Mustafa Kemal University Tayfur Ata Sökmen Faculty of Medicine (Ethics No: 03 / Date: 14.12.2023) and was conducted in accordance with the principles outlined in the Declaration of Helsinki. Written informed consent was obtained from both the patients and their parents prior to participation.

Study Design

This study was designed as a prospective observational analysis. Data were collected at two time points: baseline (one month before the earthquake) and follow-up (post-earthquake). Patients who were unable to attend regular follow-up visits due to the earthquake were included from their first available post-event visit. Follow-up evaluations were conducted at 3-month intervals for up to one year. The primary outcomes of interest included changes in HbA1c levels, CGM readings, insulin dosages, and the frequency of hypoglycemic episodes Psychological assessments of children were performed using the validated Problem Areas in Diabetes-Teen Scale (PAID-T) and the Post-Traumatic Stress Reaction Scale (PTSRS). Mothers' diabetes-related stress was evaluated using the Problem Areas in Diabetes-Parents of Teens (P-PAID-T) scale.

Patients were assessed during clinical visits both before and after the earthquake. At each time point, they underwent physical examinations, blood glucose testing, and completed questionnaires measuring diabetes-related issues and post-traumatic stress levels

Scales Used in the Study

- Data Collection Form: This form was developed by the researchers and includes questions about the demographic information of both children and parents, living conditions after the earthquake, experiences during the earthquake, and diabetes management following the event.
- Problem Areas in Diabetes-Parents of Teens Scale (P-PAID-T): Originally developed by Dr. Weissberg-Benchell et al. in 2014 (10) to identify problem areas faced by parents of adolescents with diabetes, the scale was later revised by Shapiro et al. in 2017 (11) reducing the number of items to 15. It is a 6-point Likert scale divided into three main categories: "not a problem (1-2)," "moderate problem (3-4)," and "serious problem (5-6)." The total score ranges from 15 to 90, with higher scores indicating more significant stress perceived by
- parents in managing their child's diabetes. The Turkish validity and reliability study of the scale was conducted by Sarr et al. (12).

 3. Problem Areas in Diabetes-Teen Scale (PAID-T): This 14 item scale follows the same 6-point Likert structure as the parent version, with categories for "not a problem (1-2)," "moderate problem (3-4)," and "serious problem (5-6)." Originally developed by Dr. Weissberg-Benchell et al. in 2011 (10) to identify problem areas for adolescents with diabetes, it was revised in 2017 to its current form (11). Scores range from 14 to 84, with higher scores reflecting more significant stress perceived by adolescents in relation to their diabetes management. The Turkish validity and reliability study of the scale was conducted by Sarı et al. (13).

 4. Post-Traumatic Stress Reaction Scale for Children: Developed by Pynoos et al. (14) this 20-item scale assesses specific stress reactions following a traumatic event. It uses a 5-point Likert scale (0: never, 1: very rarely, 2: rarely, 3: often, 4: very often), with higher scores indicating a greater severity of trauma impact. The scale was adapted into Turkish by Erden et al. (15) and validity and reliability
- studies were performed.

Laboratory and Clinical Assessments

The physical and laboratory assessments included the following:

Glycemic control measures: HbA1c, random blood glucose levels, CGM data, insulin dosage, and the frequency of hypoglycemia. Biochemical parameters: Total cholesterol, triglycerides, liver function tests, kidney function (creatinine and estimated glomerular filtration rate), and complete blood count.

Psychological assessments: PAID-T and PTSRS scores were used to evaluate the emotional and stress-related impact of the earthquake on diabetes management. These scales provided insights into how patients perceived their diabetes management and the emotional burden associated with the traumatic event.

Statistical Analysis

Continuous variables were expressed as mean ± standard deviation, while categorical variables were expressed as percentages. Differences in pre- and post-earthquake HbA1c values were assessed using paired t-tests. Mixed-effects models were used to analyze repeated measures across the two-time points. Associations between psychological stress scores and glycemic control were evaluated using **Pearson correlation**. A P-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant. All statistical analyses were performed using **SPSS version** 29,0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA).

Results

A total of 79 patients and their parents were enrolled in the study. Table 1 presents the sociodemographic characteristics of the children and their families.

All patients were receiving insulin injections, with 53.2% using continuous glucose monitoring (CGM) sensors. The ICU admission rate for type 1 Diabetes (T1D) was 29.1%. Regarding comorbidities, 5.1% (4/79) of patients had celiac disease, 5.1% (4/79) had hypothyroidism, and 13.9% (11/79) had other medical conditions. Additionally, 25.3% of patients had sought psychiatric consultation, with 2.5% using psychiatric medications. Following the earthquake, 77.2% (61/79) of patients experienced glycemic control issues.

During the earthquake, 89.9% of patients reported feeling the tremor strongly, while 3.8% felt mild shaking. After the earthquake, 24.1% of patients stayed in their cars. During the post-earthquake period, 46.9% lived in tents, 45.6% in homes, and 3.8% in containers. Two patients (2.5%) were trapped under rubble, one for 1 hour and the other for 2 hours. Although there were no permanent physical injuries or immediate family losses, 63.3% of patients reported losing close friends or relatives.

Regarding living conditions after the earthquake, 34.2% reported no significant challenges, while 29.1% experienced difficulties accessing food and water. Furthermore, 15.2% had trouble obtaining food, 5.1% struggled to access water, and 5.1% faced difficulty acquiring insulin. Only 2.5% of patients stated they were unaffected by the earthquake. In contrast, 43% reported psychological and economic impacts, 40.5% reported primarily psychological impacts (fear, anxiety), and 3.8% reported economic impacts. Additionally, 83.5% of participants had no earthquake emergency preparedness kit (Table 2).

In terms of housing damage, 30.4% of patients' homes were classified as "lightly damaged," 22.8% as "undamaged," 13.9% as "destroyed," 12.7% as "severely damaged," and 6.3% as "moderately damaged." When asked about the time it took to return to everyday life, 8.9% of patients recovered within one week, 3.8% within one to two weeks, 5.1% within two to four weeks, 17.7% after more than four weeks, 8.9% within one to three months, and 17.7% within one to six months. However, 3.8% reported that they had not yet returned to everyday life. Physical activity habits also changed post-earthquake, with 38.0% of patients reporting no physical activity, 30.4% engaging in activities such as walking, and 17.8% engaging in exercise. Regarding sleep, 8.9% of patients reported insomnia, 6.3% experienced inadequate sleep, and 30.4% reported reduced sleep quality, resulting in 45.6% of patients experiencing sleep disturbances.

Medical information was unavailable for 19.0% of patients. Earthquake-related stressors were identified in 57% of patients. Post-earthquake, 8.9% sought psychiatric support, with 1.3% receiving medication. Interestingly, none of the patients had prepared an emergency earthquake kit following the disaster.

After the earthquake, 36.7% of patients experienced disruptions in their insulin therapy, with 21.5% reusing needles and 27.8% encountering shortages of test strips. Post-earthquake diabetes-related hospital admissions occurred in 13.9% of patients, with 1.3% requiring ICU care. Additionally, 77.2% of patients reported difficulties in maintaining glycemic control.

The results of the patients' glycemic control, evaluated at three-month intervals before and after the earthquake, are shown in **Table 3**. When examining the timing of hospital visits post-earthquake, 11.4% occurred within the first month, 11.4% within two months, 15.2% within three months, 6.3% within four months, 8.9% within five months, and 3.8% within six months, with 53.2% seeking care within the first six months.

The mean scores for the assessments were as follows: the PAID-T child scale score was 42.0 ± 14.5 , the PAID-T parent score was 53.7 ± 12.8 , and the PTSRS average score was 35.1 ± 17.4 .

The mean PAID-T child scale score was 42.0 ± 14.5 , the PAID-T parent score was 53.7 ± 12.8 , and the PTSRS average was 35.1 ± 17.4 **Table 4** presents the correlation analysis between psychological scales and diabetic measurements, revealing variable relationships across different time points. While the PAID-T for children showed a positive correlation with HbA1c levels after the earthquake, the significance was not strong, indicating that higher reported stress levels may not directly correspond to glycemic control. The PAID-T for parents exhibited a notable positive correlation with HbA1c during the second three months post-earthquake (r = 0.423, p = 0.031), suggesting that parental stress could have some influence on the glycemic outcomes of their children during this period. Conversely, the PTSRS for children did not show significant correlations with glycemic control at any time point, indicating that post-traumatic stress reactions may not have a direct impact on diabetes management. Overall, these findings highlight the complex interplay between psychological stressors and diabetes control in the aftermath of traumatic events, suggesting that further investigation into these relationships may be warranted.

Discussion

The 2023 Turkey Earthquake not only disrupted the daily lives of children with Type 1 diabetes but also had a profound and lasting impact on their glycemic control and psychological well-being. This underscores the critical need for tailored disaster preparedness and mental health support in managing chronic conditions during crises (5, 7).

The findings of this study suggest that the earthquake may have contributed to disruptions in glycemic control and elevated stress levels in children with Type 1 diabetes.

The earthquake's aftermath appears to have posed immediate challenges to diabetes management. It may have led to long-term effects on both physical and mental health outcomes, as indicated by the observed fluctuations in HbA1c levels and the psychological distress reported within our cohort. In contrast to previous studies, we observed a transient improvement in HbA1c levels during the first three months following the earthquake (9). This initial decline may be attributed to the heightened attention families devoted to diabetes management in response to the trauma, as well as the increased efforts made by healthcare providers to maintain contact with patients. During the acute post-earthquake period, we established a support group for families of children with diabetes through phone communication, facilitating frequent interaction and guidance. Furthermore, after the 6.4 magnitude aftershock, when healthcare services were relocated to a field hospital and it became evident that hospital-based services would not be available for emergencies, families may have intensified their efforts to regulate glycemic control, knowing that immediate care could be inaccessible (16). However, the sharp rise in HbA1c levels during the third three-month period underscores the limitations of these short-term coping mechanisms in the face of prolonged disaster-related stress and healthcare disruptions. The subsequent deterioration in glycemic control suggests that as the emotional and logistical burdens of the earthquake persisted, cumulative stress negatively affected the children's diabetes management routines (17).

The return of HbA1c levels to pre-earthquake values in the final phase of the study suggests a stabilization of diabetes management practices.

The return of HbA1c levels to pre-earthquake values in the final phase of the study suggests a stabilization of diabetes management practices. However, this recovery in glycemic control does not mitigate the negative impacts experienced during the intermediate period, indicating the prolonged stress and difficulties families encountered (17). Our data highlight the importance of providing long-term support following natural disasters, as the challenges of managing a chronic condition like Type 1 diabetes are significantly amplified when healthcare systems, social support networks, and daily routines are disrupted.

Another critical factor influencing diabetes management in our cohort was the prolonged disruption of daily living conditions. Only 17.8% of patients could return to their pre-earthquake routines within the first month, while 17.7% managed to re-establish normalcy after six months. Alarmingly, 3.8% of participants had not regained their routines even one year post-disaster. For these families, the immediate priority shifted shelter, food, and water—while disease management understandably took a backseat. The psychological and logistical strain of living in temporary housing for an extended period undoubtedly contributed to the fluctuations in glycemic control. The continued displacement and uncertainty surrounding the resumption of everyday life likely exacerbated stress for both children and their caregivers, complicating their ability to effectively manage Type 1 diabetes (9). The fact that some families remained unable to return to regular routines underscores the profound and lasting impact that such disasters can have on chronic disease management. Psychological assessments further revealed considerable emotional strain on both children and their parents. The elevated scores on the PAID-T and PTSRS indicate that a significant portion of our cohort experienced substantial psychological distress (12). This finding aligns with existing literature showing that children with chronic diseases are particularly vulnerable to the psychological impacts of natural disasters, as they must navigate the dual burden of managing both their physical health and the emotional trauma of the event (9, 17). Notably, the relatively low rate of psychiatric support uptake (8.9%) despite the widespread prevalence of stress-related symptoms (57%) highlights a critical gap in mental health services post-disaster, emphasizing the urgent need for targeted interventions. In our study, we found a positive correlation between the PAID-T for parents and HbA1c levels during the second three months postcarthquake, suggesting that parental stress may significantly influence children's diabetes management during periods of heightened anxiety and uncertainty. This finding underscores the critical need to consider the psychological well-being of parents as part of a comprehensive approach to diabetes care, particularly in the context of disaster recovery. Conversely, the lack of significant correlations between the PTSRS for children and glycemic control indicates that the psychological effects of trauma may not directly impact diabetes management routines.

This complexity highlights the necessity for further research to explore the nuanced relationships between various dimensions of psychological distress and diabetes outcomes, ultimately guiding targeted interventions for families affected by traumatic events. In the study conducted by Sengül et al. on adults with Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus (T1DM) after the Marmara earthquake, an improvement in HbA1c levels was observed one year post-earthquake. However, our study found that HbA1c levels in children increased one year after the earthquake compared to the first three months following the event. This difference may be attributed to the long-term effects of diabetes on glycemic regulation in pediatric patients (9).

In terms of healthcare access, disruptions in insulin therapy and diabetes management were reported by a significant portion of participants (36.7%), with nearly 28% experiencing difficulties with glucose monitoring supplies. These disruptions, alongside the high prevalence of living in temporary housing (such as tents and containers), further exacerbated the challenges of maintaining stable glycemic control (18). The physical stressors associated with displacement, combined with the emotional toll of loss and trauma—evidenced by 63.3% of participants reporting the loss of friends or family—underscore the necessity of integrating disaster preparedness into chronic disease management frameworks (9). This holistic approach is essential for ensuring that vulnerable populations, like children with Type 1 diabetes, receive the comprehensive support they need during crises.

Clinical and Public Health Implications

Our findings underscore the urgent need for robust disaster preparedness plans tailored specifically for vulnerable populations, such as children with Type 1 diabetes. These plans must ensure continuous access to essential medications, glucose monitoring supplies, and healthcare services during natural disasters. Moreover, there is a critical need to integrate mental health support into diabetes care in times of crisis, as psychological distress has been shown to directly impact glycemic control and overall health outcomes (16, 18). Efforts should also focus on raising awareness among healthcare providers, patients, and their families regarding the potential effects of disasters on diabetes management. Health systems must prioritize the development of emergency protocols that specifically address the unique needs of children with chronic diseases, ensuring that they are not overlooked during large-scale crises (16, 18, 19). Strengths and limitations of the study

A literature review revealed a notable paucity of studies investigating the impact of natural disasters on children with Type 1 diabetes, particularly as most existing research on earthquakes has primarily involved adult populations. Despite the limited number of children included in our study—due to challenges such as casualties, relocation, and restricted access to healthcare services—our findings are significant as they represent the first investigation of this age group in this context. Additionally, this study uniquely explores diabetes-related stress levels in both children and their mothers, offering valuable insights into the pivotal role parents play in managing their child's diabetes care under extraordinary circumstances.

However, several limitations of the study should be acknowledged. One key limitation is the focus on HbA1c levels without examining other potential contributing factors, such as body mass index (BMI), standard deviation score (SDS), or changes in physical activity levels. Including these variables in future analyses could provide a more comprehensive understanding of the observed HbA1c fluctuations and offer a deeper interpretation of the data.

Another limitation is that participants completed the psychological scales at varying time points after the earthquake. This variability in timing may have influenced the standardization of results, potentially affecting the reliability of comparisons across the study population. While correlation analyses were conducted to explore relationships between variables, they cannot fully address the variability introduced by differing assessment times. Future studies could improve data consistency and reliability by standardizing the timing of psychological evaluations.

A further limitation lies in the study's reliance solely on self-report scales for assessing psychological distress in both children and their mothers. The absence of semi-structured psychiatric evaluations by child psychiatrists limited the ability to diagnose specific psychiatric disorders, such as depression, anxiety disorders, or post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), which may have emerged following the earthquake. Incorporating such clinical assessments in future research would provide a more robust understanding of the psychological impact of natural disasters on this population.

Lastly, the study did not document the duration participants spent in temporary housing, such as tents or shelters. Prolonged exposure to such conditions likely exacerbated psychological stress and increased vulnerability to illnesses, such as upper respiratory infections, which could have indirectly influenced blood glucose levels. Further investigation into these environmental factors would enhance the contextual interpretation of our findings.

Despite these limitations, this study underscores the profound impact of natural disasters on the physical and psychological well-being of children with Type 1 diabetes and highlights critical areas for future research and intervention development.

Conclusion

In summary, the 2023 Turkey Earthquake has had a profound impact on the glycemic control and psychological well-being of children with Type I diabetes. Our findings illustrate significant fluctuations in HbA1c levels and heightened psychological distress among this vulnerable population, emphasizing the need for tailored interventions in disaster preparedness and mental health support. The study highlights the complex interplay between emotional stressors and diabetes management, revealing that immediate responses to crises may lead to temporary improvements, but prolonged disruptions can result in deteriorating health outcomes. Importantly, our results call for enhanced awareness and proactive strategies among healthcare providers, families, and policymakers to ensure that the unique needs of children with chronic conditions are addressed in the wake of natural disasters. By implementing comprehensive support systems, we can better equip families to navigate the challenges posed by such events, ultimately improving health outcomes and quality of life for children with Type I diabetes.

Authorship Contributions: Concept - Design - Data Collection or Processing- Writing: Gül Trabzon, Seda Aybüke Sarı- Analysis or Interpretation - Literature Search - Writings Servet Yüce, - Data Collection or Processing: Simge Bilaloğlu, Şeyma Demiray Güllü Funding: This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Acknowledgments

The successful completion of this article results from the collaborative efforts and invaluable contributions of several individuals to whom we express our sincere gratitude. We are particularly thankful to the dedicated researchers and clinicians whose expertise and insights have profoundly enriched the content of this study. Their unwavering commitment to advancing knowledge in the field has greatly enhanced the quality of this work.

REFERENCES

- 1. Uluöz M, Gökmen MY. The 2023 Turkey earthquake: management of 627 pediatric musculoskeletal injuries in the first month. Children. 2023;10(11):1733.
- 2. Aksu GG, İmrek Y. The earthquake disaster in Türkiye: a review from child and adolescent psychiatry perspective. Duzce Medical Journal, 2023;25(1):6-14.
- 3. Khan YS, Khan AW, Alabdulla M. The psychological impact of the Turkey-Syria earthquake on children: addressing the need for ongoing mental health support and global humanitarian response. European journal of psychotraumatology. 2023;14(2):2249788.
- D'Ayala D. Commentary: Reflections on the Turkey–Syria earthquakes of 6 February 2023. Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers-Structures and Buildings. 2023;176(6):478-81.
- 5. Canpolat N, Saygılı S, Sever L. Earthquake in Turkey: disasters and children. Turkish archives of pediatrics. 2023;58(2):119.
- 6. Ahmed SK, Chandran D, Hussein S, Sv P, Chakraborty S, Islam MR, et al. Environmental health risks after the 2023 Turkey-Syria earthquake and salient mitigating strategies: a critical appraisal. Environmental Health Insights. 2023;17:11786302231200865.
- 7. Farrell SP, Hains AA, Davies WH, Smith P, Parton E. The impact of cognitive distortions, stress, and adherence on metabolic control in youths with type 1 diabetes. Journal of Adolescent Health. 2004;34(6):461-7.
- 8. Allweiss P. Diabetes and disasters: recent studies and resources for preparedness. Current diabetes reports. 2019;19:1-6.
- 9. SENGüL A, ÖZER E, Salman S, Salman F, SAGLAM Z, Sargin M, et al. Lessons learnt from influences of the Marmara earthquake on glycemic control and quality of life in people with type 1 diabetes. Endocrine journal. 2004;51(4):407-14.

- 10. Weissberg-Benchell J, Antisdel-Lomaglio J. Diabetes-specific emotional distress among adolescents: feasibility, reliability, and validity of the problem areas in diabetes-teen version. Pediatric diabetes. 2011;12.
- 11. Shapiro JB, Vesco AT, Weil LE, Evans MA, Hood KK, Weissberg-Benchell J. Psychometric properties of the problem areas in diabetes: teen and parent of teen versions. Journal of Pediatric Psychology. 2018;43(5):561-71.
- 12. Sari SA, Agadayi E, Celik N, Karahan S, Tan AK, Doger E. The Turkish version of the problem areas in diabetes-parents of teens (P-PAID-T): Cross-cultural adaptation, reliability, and validity. Journal of Pediatric Nursing. 2023;73:e146-e53.
- 13. Sarı SA, Agadayı E, Çelik N, Karahan S, Kömürlüoğlu A, Döğer E. Adaptation of the Problem Areas in Diabetes-Teen Scale into Turkish and examination of its psychometric properties: a validity and reliability study. The Turkish Journal of Pediatrics. 2024;66(5):588-98.
- 14. Pynoos RS, Frederick C, Nader K, Arroyo W, Steinberg A, Eth S, et al. Life threat and posttraumatic stress in school-age children. Archives of general psychiatry. 1987;44(12):1057-63.
- 15. ERDEN G, KILIÇ EZ, USLU Rİ, KERİMOĞLU E. Çocuklar için travma sonrası stres tepki ölçeği: Türkçe geçerlik, güvenirlik ön çalışması. Çocuk ve Gençlik Ruh Sağlığı Dergisi. 1999;6(3):143-9.
- 16. Kishimoto M, Noda M. The Great East Japan Earthquake: experiences and suggestions for survivors with diabetes (perspective). PLoS currents. 2012;4.
- 17. Fujihara K, Saito A, Heianza Y, Gibo H, Suzuki H, Shimano H, et al. Impact of psychological stress caused by the Great East Japan Earthquake on glycemic control in patients with diabetes. Experimental and clinical endocrinology & diabetes. 2012;120(09):560-3.
- 18. Kishimoto M, Noda M. Diabetes care: after the great east Japan earthquake. Journal of Diabetes Investigation. 2013;4(1):97-102.
- 19. Satoh J, Yokono K, Ando R, Asakura T, Hanzawa K, Ishigaki Y, et al. Diabetes care providers' manual for disaster diabetes care. Diabetology international. 2019;10:153-79.

Table 1. Demographic Characteristics of the Study Participants

Characteristic	Percentage (%) (n/79)
Gender, % (n)	
Male	45.6% (36/79)
Female	54.4% (43/79)
Age (months, mean ± SD)	143.5 ± 45.0
Duration of Diabetes (years, mean ± SD)	4.3 ± 2.9
Puberty Duration (years, mean ± SD)	3.2 ± 1.9
Residence, % (n)	
Urban	60.8% (48/79)
Suburban	27.8% (22/79)
Rural	11.4% (9/79)
Mother's Educational Level, % (n)	
Primary	43.0% (34/79)
Secondary	25.3% (20/79)
High School	15.2% (12/79)
Father's Educational Level, % (n)	X
Primary	40.5% (32/79)
Secondary	25.3% (20/79)
High School	16.5% (13/79)
Family Type, % (n)	
Nuclear	86.1% (68/79)
Divorced/Separated	5.1% (4/79)
Economic Status, % (n)	
Below Minimum Wage	35.4% (28/79)

Table 2. Impact of the Earthquake on Participants' Lives

lable 2. Impact of the Earthquake on Participants' Lives	
Characteristic	Percentage (%) (n/79)
Strong Perception of Earthquake, % (n)	89.9% (71/79)
Lived in a Tent Post-Earthquake, % (n)	46.9% (37/79)
Patients Trapped Under Debris, % (n)	2.5% (2/79)
Hospitalization Post-Earthquake, % (n)	13.9% (11/79)
House Damage, % (n)	
Minor	30.4% (24/79)
Severe	12.7% (10/79)
Destroyed	13.9% (11/79)
Psychological Impact (Mainly Psychological), % (n)	40.5% (32/79)
Economic Impact, % (n)	3.8% (3/79)
Lack of Emergency Kit, % (n)	83.5% (66/79)

Table 3. Glycemic Control Before and After the Earthquake

Table 5. Gryceniie Control Before and Arter the Lartinquake			
Timepoint	HbA1c % (mean ± SD)		
Pre-Earthquake	9.7 ± 2.7		
Post-Earthquake	9.5 ± 2.3		
Post-Earthquake (First three months)	8.8 ± 2.2		
Post-Earthquake (Second three months)	8.7 ± 1.9		
Post-Earthquake (Third three months)	10.6 ± 1.9		
Post-Earthquake (Fourth three months)	9.7 ± 1.9		

Table 4. Correlation Analysis Between Scales and Diabetic Measurements

		PAID-T for Children	PAID-T for Parents	PTSRS for Children
Age	Correlation coefficient (r)	0.056	0.225	-0.119
	Significance (p)	0.688	0.061	0.436
	Number of patients (n)	53	70	45
HbA1C(%) before Earthquake	Correlation coefficient (r)	0.36	0.225	-0.376
	Significance (p)	0.109	0.201	0.113
	Number of patients (n)	21	34	19
HbA1C(%) After Earthquake First 3 months)	Correlation coefficient (r)	-0.167	0.364	-0.309
	Significance (p)	0.553	0.087	0.304
	Number of patients (n)	15	23	13
HbA1C(%) After Earthquake Second 3 months)	Correlation coefficient (r)	0.506	0.224	-0.18
	Significance (p)	0.200	0.562	0.669
	Number of patients (n)	8	9	8
HbA1C(%) After Earthquake Third 3 months)(%)	Correlation coefficient (r)	0.296	0.423	0.059
	Significance (p)	0.219	0.031*	0.857
	Number of patients (n)	19	26	12
HbA1C(%) After Earthquake Fourth 3 months)	Correlation coefficient (r)	0.254	-0.147	0.257
	Significance (p)	0.426	0.633	0.420
	Number of patients (n)	12	13	12

^{*}Pearson correlation analysis was used. PAID-T: Problem Areas in Diabetes-Teen Scale, PTSRS: Post-Traumatic Stress Reaction Scale for Children